

ON THE SINGER FUNCTOR R_1 AND THE FUNCTOR Fix

GEOFFREY M.L. POWELL

ABSTRACT. Lannes' T -functor is used to give a construction of the Singer functor R_1 on the category $\mathcal{U}$ of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$. This leads to a direct proof that the composite functor $\text{Fix}R_1$ is naturally equivalent to the identity. Further properties of the functors R_1 are deduced, especially when applied to reduced and nilclosed unstable modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to make explicit the relation between the Singer functor R_1 and Lannes' T -functor and to deduce some immediate consequences. The functor $R_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ takes values in the category of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules in unstable modules, where $\mathbb{F}$ is taken to be $\mathbb{F}_2$ (analogous results hold at odd primes). The Singer functor is an important tool, which arose in the work of Singer on the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra.

The functor R_1 has remarkable properties, in particular it preserves nilclosed unstable modules. This means that it is amenable to study by T -functor technology, which provides a first approximation, $\tilde{R}_1$, to the functor R_1 , where $\tilde{R}_1 M$ is defined as the kernel of a natural morphism $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M$. The main result is summarized by the following, in which $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}}$ denotes the full subcategory of projective unstable modules.

Theorem 1. *The functor $\tilde{R}_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *the functor $\tilde{R}_1$ is left exact;*
- (2) *the functor $\tilde{R}_1$ coincides with R_1 on nilclosed unstable modules;*
- (3) *the functor R_1 is naturally equivalent to $\tilde{R}_1^K$, the left Kan extension of the functor $\tilde{R}_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}}}$, hence there is a natural transformation $R_1 \cong \tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1$.*

The identification of $\tilde{R}_1^K$ with R_1 depends on properties of the Singer functor R_1 ; this result is not used in the proofs of the subsequent results of the paper, which can be interpreted as results for R_1 , via Theorem 1.

The functor $\text{Fix} : \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is the left adjoint to the functor $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes - : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$. The above leads to a direct proof of the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let M be an unstable module. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Fix}\tilde{R}_1^K M \xrightarrow{\cong} M.$$

This is then used to show:

Theorem 3. *Let M be a reduced unstable module, then the natural transformation*

$$\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55S10; Secondary 18E10.

Key words and phrases. Singer functor – unstable module – Lannes' T -functor.

This work was partly financed by the project ANR BLAN08-2 338236, HGRT.

The author would like to thank Jean Lannes for observing that the copresentation of the functor R_1 should lead to a conceptual calculation of $\text{Fix}R_1$ and Aurélien Djament for some helpful comments.

is an isomorphism.

With this result in hand, all properties of the functor $\tilde{R}_1^K$ can be deduced from properties of the functor $\tilde{R}_1$, which are transparent when considered modulo nilpotent unstable modules.

When M is a nilclosed unstable module, the techniques used to prove Theorem 3 provide more information:

Theorem 4. *Let M be a nilclosed unstable module. Then there is a natural exact sequence in $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$:*

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{TM} \rightarrow C_2 M \rightarrow 0$$

such that:

- (1) *applying the indecomposables functor $Q : \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Sigma \overline{TM} \rightarrow \Sigma(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2) \rightarrow 0;$$

- (2) *applying the functor $\text{Fix} : \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow TM \rightarrow T\overline{TM} \rightarrow \overline{T}^2 M \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the underlying $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module of $C_2 M$ is free.

Organization of the paper: Section 2 provides background and introduces notation used in the paper and Section 2.1 gives a rapid review of the Singer functor R_1 . The functors $\tilde{R}_1$ and $\tilde{R}_1^K$ are introduced in Section 3, where Theorem 1 is proven. Theorem 2 is proved in Section 4 and Theorem 3 in Section 5, with an application of the methods being given in Corollary 5.17. Theorem 4 is proved in Section 5.4 and the appendix proves some auxiliary results on division functors.

2. BACKGROUND

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{F}$ is the prime field $\mathbb{F}_2$ of characteristic two (analogues of the results presented here hold in odd characteristic). The category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{U}$ and the category of unstable algebras by $\mathcal{K}$. See [Sch94] for background on unstable modules and algebras.

Notation 2.1.

- (1) Let Φ denote the degree-doubling functor on graded vector spaces. (If X is a graded vector space, ΦX is concentrated in even degree and $(\Phi X)_{2n} = X_n$.)
- (2) If M, N are unstable modules, a morphism between the underlying graded vector spaces is denoted by $M - - \succ N$.

The functor Φ restricts to a functor $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and there is a natural morphism of unstable modules $Sq_0 : \Phi M \rightarrow M$.

Lannes' functor $T_V : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, for V an elementary abelian 2-group, is the left adjoint to the functor $H^*(V) \otimes - : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The association $V \mapsto T_V$ is covariantly functorial in V ; namely, a morphism of $\mathbb{F}$ -vector spaces $V \rightarrow W$ induces a natural transformation $T_V \rightarrow T_W$. The reduced T -functor, $\overline{T} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, occurs in the natural splitting $TM \cong M \oplus \overline{TM}$ given by $M \cong T_0 M \rightarrow TM = T_{\mathbb{F}} M \rightarrow M$ induced by the zero $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space.

If K is an unstable algebra, then $K\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ denotes the category of K -modules in $\mathcal{U}$, which is abelian (see [Lan92, Section 4.4], for example). Here, K will be the unstable algebra $\mathbb{F}[u]$ with u of degree one.

The tensor product induces an exact functor $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes - : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$, which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The functor $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes -$ admits a

left adjoint, the functor Fix . (See [Lan92] and [LZ95] for properties of the functor Fix .)

These adjunctions are summarized by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\text{Fix}} & \\ \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow[\perp]{\mathbb{F}[u]\otimes -} & \mathcal{U}, \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \end{array}$$

and it is formal that there is a natural isomorphism $T(-) \cong \text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes -)$.

The augmentation $\varepsilon : \mathbb{F}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ induces a section $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$ to the forgetful functor. This admits a left adjoint, the functor of indecomposables, $Q : \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

The following general result on graded connected modules will be used without further comment.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $\mathbb{K}[u]$ be the graded polynomial algebra on a generator of degree one. A graded, connected $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -module M is u -torsion free if and only if it is a free $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -module.*

2.1. The Singer functor R_1 . The definition and the properties of the Singer functor R_1 are reviewed in this section; for further details, the reader is referred to Singer [Sin78, Sin83] and the article [LZ87] of Lannes and Zarati.

Definition 2.3. For M an unstable module,

- (1) let $\Phi M = - \xrightarrow{\text{St}_1} \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ be defined by $\text{St}_1(x) := \sum u^{|x|-i} \otimes Sq^i x$;
- (2) let $R_1 M$ denote the sub $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ generated by the image of St_1 .

Proposition 2.4. *This construction defines a functor $R_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$ and, by forgetting the $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module structure, a functor $R_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) R_1 is exact and commutes with limits and colimits;
- (2) there exists a unique natural transformation $\rho_1 : R_1 M \rightarrow \Phi M$ which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_1 M & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \\ \rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes 1 \\ \Phi M & \xrightarrow{Sq_0} & M; \end{array}$$

- (3) there is a natural short exact sequence in $\mathcal{U}$

$$0 \rightarrow uR_1 M \rightarrow R_1 M \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \Phi M \rightarrow 0;$$

- (4) $R_1 M$ is a free $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module on a basis $\text{St}_1(x)$, as x ranges over a homogeneous basis of M ;
- (5) if $N \subset M$, then $R_1 N = R_1 M \cap (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes N)$ as a submodule of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$;
- (6) if M is reduced (respectively nilclosed), then $R_1 M$ is reduced (resp. nilclosed);
- (7) there is a natural isomorphism $R_1(M \otimes N) \cong R_1 M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} R_1 N$ in $\mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$;
- (8) if $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is an unstable algebra, then $R_1 K$ has the structure of an unstable algebra and belongs to the under-category $\mathbb{F}[u] \downarrow \mathcal{K}$.

3. BUILDING THE SINGER FUNCTOR USING T

This section introduces the functor $\tilde{R}_1$, which is a first approximation to the Singer functor R_1 . The functors $\tilde{R}_1, R_1$ are shown to coincide on the full subcategory of nilclosed unstable modules. Then Kan extension gives a functor $\tilde{R}_1^K$, which is shown to be naturally equivalent to R_1 .

Definition 3.1. For M an unstable module, let σ_M, τ_M denote the natural morphisms of $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$:

- (1) $\tau_M : \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM$ induced by the adjunction unit $M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM$ in $\mathcal{U}$;
- (2) $\sigma_M : \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM$ given by applying the functor $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes -$ to the natural transformation $M \cong T_0 M \rightarrow TM$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\tilde{R}_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ be the functor determined on an unstable module M by

$$(1) \quad \tilde{R}_1 M := \ker \{ \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow[\sigma_M]{\tau_M} \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM \}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The equalizer (1) defining $\tilde{R}_1 M$ is a reflexive equalizer.*

Proof. By definition of a reflexive equalizer, it suffices to exhibit a morphism $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ which is a common retract to σ_M and τ_M ; such a retract is provided by the morphism of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules which is induced by the projection $TM \rightarrow M$. $\square$

Proposition 3.4.

- (1) *There is a natural monomorphism $\tilde{R}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes -$ of functors $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ and for M an unstable module, $\tilde{R}_1 M$ has free underlying $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module.*
- (2) *The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ preserves the classes of reduced (respectively nilclosed) unstable modules.*
- (3) *If $N \subset M$ are unstable modules, then $\tilde{R}_1 N = \tilde{R}_1 M \cap (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes N)$.*
- (4) *The functor $\tilde{R}_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ is left exact.*
- (5) *The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ commutes with coproducts.*
- (6) *If M is an unstable module, then the diagram of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_1 M & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_1 M[u^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{F}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

- (7) *The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ commutes with suspension; more generally, if M, X are unstable modules where X is locally finite, then there is a natural isomorphism $\tilde{R}_1(M \otimes X) \cong \tilde{R}_1(M) \otimes X$.*

Proof.

- (1) The first statement follows directly from the definition; in particular, $\tilde{R}_1 M$ is a sub $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ and is thus $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -free.
- (2) $\tilde{R}_1 M$ is a sub-object of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ and the quotient is a submodule of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM$. If M is reduced (respectively nilclosed) then $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ and $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM$ are both reduced (respectively nilclosed). The result follows.
- (3) This statement is a formal consequence of the definition of $\tilde{R}_1$ as a natural equalizer.
- (4) The left exactness of $\tilde{R}_1$ is a straightforward verification (the exactness corresponding to the middle term of a short exact sequence is a consequence of the previous statement).

- (5) The formation of the equalizer diagram commutes with coproducts, since the T -functor is a left adjoint.
- (6) The underlying $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module $\tilde{R}_1 M$ is the intersection of $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ with the equalizer of the diagram of $\mathbb{F}[u^{\pm 1}]$ -modules given by localizing:

$$\mathbb{F}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M \rightrightarrows \mathbb{F}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes TM.$$

The statement follows by exactness of localization.

- (7) The T -functor commutes naturally with suspension, which implies the first statement. A similar argument gives the general result, using the fact that T commutes with tensor products and $TX \cong X$ if and only if X is locally finite.

□

Remark 3.5. The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ is not exact. For example, the surjection $F(1) \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{F}$ does not yield a surjection under $\tilde{R}_1$.

3.1. Product structures. The category $\mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ has tensor structure given by $\otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let M, N be unstable modules. There is a binatural isomorphism*

$$\mu_{M,N} : \tilde{R}_1 M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \tilde{R}_1 N \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{R}_1 (M \otimes N)$$

which is induced by the isomorphism $(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes N) \cong \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes (M \otimes N)$.

Proof. The T -functor commutes with tensor products; this implies that there is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{\tau_M \otimes \tau_N} & (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TN) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes (M \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{M \otimes N}} & \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes T(M \otimes N). \end{array}$$

Similarly, $\sigma_{M \otimes N}$ identifies with $\sigma_M \otimes \sigma_N$. The result follows from the fact that $\tilde{R}_1$ is defined by a reflexive equalizer by Lemma 3.3, together with the formal fact that the tensor product of two reflexive equalizers in $\mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ is a reflexive equalizer. □

Remark 3.7. Alternatively, this result can be proved by using the Künneth isomorphism, by passage to the reduced T -functor, as in Lemma 5.5.

Remark 3.8. The product isomorphism $\mu_{M,N}$ induces a surjection in $\mathcal{U}$

$$\tilde{R}_1 M \otimes \tilde{R}_1 N \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 (M \otimes N)$$

via the surjection $\tilde{R}_1 M \otimes \tilde{R}_1 N \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \tilde{R}_1 N$.

Corollary 3.9. *The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ restricts to a functor $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \downarrow \mathcal{K}$. Moreover, if K is an unstable algebra, then $\tilde{R}_1$ induces a functor $K - \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 K - \mathcal{U}$.*

3.2. Relation with the Singer functor R_1 . The comparison between R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$ on nilclosed unstable modules relies on comparing the functors on the full subcategory of nilclosed injectives. For R_1 , the following calculation is due to Lannes and Zarati.

Proposition 3.10. [LZ87, Section 5.4.7.5] *Let V be an elementary abelian 2-group. Then*

$$R_1 H^*(V) \cong H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F})^{G_V}$$

where $G_V \subset GL(V \oplus \mathbb{F})$ is the pointwise stabilizer of V .

There is an analogous result for the functor $\tilde{R}_1$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let V be an elementary abelian 2-group. Then*

$$\tilde{R}_1 H^*(V) \cong H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F})^{G_V}.$$

Proof. Let us identify the morphisms $\sigma, \tau : \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes H^*(V) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TH^*(V)$. The source identifies with $H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F})$ and the image with $\mathbb{F}^V \otimes H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F})$ and it suffices to identify each component of the morphisms σ, τ . Namely, for $v \in V$, there is a surjective evaluation map of Boolean algebras $\mathbb{F}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ which gives the component indexed by v , which is a morphism of unstable algebras

$$H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F}).$$

The v -component of σ is the identity of $H^*(V \oplus \mathbb{F})$, independently of v . It is a straightforward calculation to show that the v -component of τ is induced by the automorphism of $V \oplus \mathbb{F}$ which is the identity on V and has component $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow V \oplus \mathbb{F}$ given by $1 \mapsto (v, 1)$. Namely, as v ranges through V , these morphisms run through the pointwise stabilizer $G_V \subset GL(V \oplus \mathbb{F})$ of V . The result follows from the definition of $\tilde{R}_1 H^*(V)$ as an equalizer. $\square$

Theorem 3.12. *The functors R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$ are canonically isomorphic on the full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}$ with objects the nilclosed unstable modules.*

Proof. The functor R_1 is exact, commutes with colimits and preserves the class of nilclosed objects; similarly, the functor $\tilde{R}_1$ is left exact, commutes with coproducts and preserves the class of nilclosed objects.

Proposition 3.10 and Lemma 3.11 show that the functors coincide on the injective nilclosed unstable modules $H^*(V)$. This extends formally to show that the functors R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$ coincide on all nilclosed injective unstable modules, via the classification of the injective unstable modules [LS89] (see [Sch94, Theorem 3.14.1]) and the fact that both functors commute with coproducts.

The functors R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$ are both equipped with natural inclusions in $\mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ to $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$. Hence, it follows that the functors R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$ coincide on the full subcategory of nilclosed injective unstable modules.

If M is a nilclosed unstable module, there is an injective copresentation

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1$$

with I^0, I^1 nilclosed injective unstable modules. The result follows formally by left exactness of R_1 and $\tilde{R}_1$, by applying the five-lemma. $\square$

3.3. The Singer functor R_1 via left Kan extension. The Singer functor R_1 is exact; hence, using Theorem 3.12, the functor R_1 can be recovered by using left Kan extension of the functor $\tilde{R}_1$. Namely, the projective objects of $\mathcal{U}$ are nilclosed and define a full subcategory $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Hence the functor $\tilde{R}_1$ coincides on $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}}$ with R_1 , as defined by Singer.

Definition 3.13. Let $\tilde{R}_1^K : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ be the left Kan extension of the functor $\tilde{R}_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}}}$.

Explicitly, for M an unstable module, the object $\tilde{R}_1^K M$ is the cokernel of $\tilde{R}_1 P_1 \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 P_0$, where $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a projective presentation of M in $\mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 3.14. *There is a natural transformation of functors $\tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1$ which is an isomorphism on projective unstable modules.*

Proof. Formal. $\square$

Remark 3.15. This result does not imply *a priori* that $\tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1$ is a natural monomorphism.

Theorem 3.16. *The functors $\tilde{R}_1^K$ and R_1 are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. Formal consequence of Theorem 3.12, Lemma 3.14 and the fact that R_1 is exact. $\square$

Remark 3.17. It is worthwhile stressing that the proof of Theorem 3.16 relies on two fundamental properties of the Singer functor R_1 : that it is exact and that $R_1 H^*(V)$ is a nilclosed unstable module, which is identified by Proposition 3.10.

4. THE FUNCTOR R_1 AND Fix

Recall that there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Fix}(N) \cong \mathbb{F} \otimes_{T\mathbb{F}[u]} TN$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a $T\mathbb{F}[u]$ -algebra via the morphism of unstable algebras $\iota : T\mathbb{F}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ adjoint to the identity morphism of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ (Cf. [Lan92, Section 4.4.3]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be an unstable module; under the natural isomorphisms $\text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M) \cong TM$ and $\text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM) \cong T^2 M$, the morphisms*

$$\text{Fix}(\sigma_M), \text{Fix}(\tau_M) : \text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM)$$

identify respectively with the morphisms $TM \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}^2} M \cong T^2 M$ induced by the inclusion $i_1 : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$ of the first factor (respectively by the diagonal $\delta : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$).

Proof. The degree zero part of $T\mathbb{F}[u]$ is the Boolean algebra $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}}$ and projection onto the degree zero part defines a morphism of unstable algebras $T\mathbb{F}[u] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}}$. Under the T -functor, the morphisms σ_M, τ_M give morphisms of $T\mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ and hence morphisms of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} - \mathcal{U}$:

$$\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes TM \rightrightarrows \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes T^2 M.$$

These are determined by the morphisms of unstable modules

$$TM \rightrightarrows \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes T^2 M.$$

The unstable module $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} \otimes TM$ has two components, indexed by the elements w of $\mathbb{F}$. The corresponding components of the morphisms are recovered by composing with the morphism induced by the respective evaluation maps $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$.

The component of the morphism τ_M corresponding to $w \in \mathbb{F}$ is the morphism $TM \rightarrow T^2 M$ induced by the linear map $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$, $1 \mapsto (1, w)$. The identification of $\text{Fix}(\tau_M)$ follows by passing to the quotient via $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}}} -$, which corresponds to the component $w = 1$.

The identification of $\text{Fix}(\sigma_M)$ is straightforward. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be an unstable module. The adjoint to the canonical morphism $\tilde{R}_1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 M \xrightarrow{\cong} M.$$

Proof. The functor Fix is exact, hence

$$\text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 M \cong \ker\{TM \cong T_{\mathbb{F}} M \rightrightarrows T^2 M \cong T_{\mathbb{F}^2} M\},$$

the equalizer of $\text{Fix}(\sigma_M)$ and $\text{Fix}(\tau_M)$; these morphisms are given by Lemma 4.1. The result follows by identifying the equalizer diagram with the split equalizer

$$M \cong T_{\mathbb{F}^0} M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}} M \rightrightarrows T_{\mathbb{F}^2} M,$$

which is split via the projections $T_{\mathbb{F}} M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}^0} M$ and $T_{\mathbb{F}^2} M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}} M$ induced respectively by $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0$ and the projection $p_2 : \mathbb{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be an unstable module. The natural transformation $\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 M$$

and hence an isomorphism $\text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K M \xrightarrow{\cong} M$.

Proof. Choose a projective presentation $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M in $\mathcal{U}$. Then the natural transformation $\tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1$ induces a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{U}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K P_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K P_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 P_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 P_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the top row is exact by the exactness of Fix and the definition of $\tilde{R}_1^K$ and the lower row is exact since it is canonically isomorphic to $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, by Proposition 4.2. The result follows from the five-lemma. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Theorem 3.16 was known to Jean Lannes, for the functor R_1 , using a different argument. The idea that the copresentation of $\tilde{R}_1$ should lead to a conceptual proof of this fact is due to Lannes.

5. THE FUNCTOR $\tilde{R}_1^K$ ON REDUCED UNSTABLE MODULES

It is a key fact that the functor $\tilde{R}_1^K$ coincides with $\tilde{R}_1$ on reduced unstable modules. The aim of this section is to provide a proof of this fact *without* appealing to Theorem 3.16 and known properties of R_1 . (The reader happy to work with properties of $\tilde{R}_1^K M$ deduced from properties of $R_1 M$ via Theorem 3.16, will skip most of this section, passing directly to Proposition 5.12, the essential ingredient to the proof of the main result, Theorem 5.13.)

The arguments are based on general properties of modules over a graded polynomial ring, given in the following section.

5.1. On graded modules over polynomial rings. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and let $\mathbb{K}[u]$ be the graded polynomial $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra on a generator u of degree one. The canonical augmentation $\varepsilon : \mathbb{K}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is defined by $u \mapsto 0$ and the augmentation ideal is written $\overline{\mathbb{K}[u]}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let M be a graded connected $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and X be a graded $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$. Suppose that the diagram of graded $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X[u^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Then the quotient module $(\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M)/X$ is $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -free.

Proof. It suffices to show that the quotient module is u -torsion free. This is immediate, since $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M/X$ embeds in $\mathbb{K}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M/X[u^{\pm 1}]$ by the hypothesis that the diagram is cartesian. $\square$

Remark 5.2.

- (1) A graded sub $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -module X of $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$ is free, hence admits a homogeneous space of generators $W \subset \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$ such that $X \cong \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes W$.
- (2) The kernel of $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes M} M$ is $\overline{\mathbb{K}[u]} \otimes M$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M be a graded connected $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space and X be a graded sub $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -module of $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) the diagram of graded $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X[u^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M \end{array}$$

is cartesian;

- (2) X admits a graded space of $\mathbb{K}[u]$ -generators $W \subset \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$ such that the composition $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes M} M$ induced by the augmentation ε of $\mathbb{K}[u]$ is a monomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that the first condition holds, and write X_M for the image of X in M under the composite $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow M$. Let W be the image of a choice of (graded) section of $X \rightarrow X_M$. Then W generates a sub $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module X' of X isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes X_M$. Suppose that $X' \subsetneq X$ is a proper submodule and let $x \in X$ be an element of least degree which does not lie in X' . By definition of X_M , there exists $x' \in X'$ and $\delta \in \overline{\mathbb{K}[u]} \otimes M$ such that $x = x' + \delta$. Then $\delta \in X \cap (\overline{\mathbb{K}[u]} \otimes M)$ is divisible by u ; thus, using the pullback hypothesis, $\delta = u\delta'$, for some $\delta' \in X$. The minimality of the degree of x implies that $\delta' \in X'$, which establishes the required contradiction.

For the converse, let X be as in the statement and consider $\tilde{X} := X[u^{-1}] \cap \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ in $\mathbb{F}[u^{\pm 1}] \otimes M$. Thus, $\tilde{X}$ satisfies the first hypothesis and $X \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ has u -torsion cokernel. Suppose that the inclusion is proper and choose $0 \neq y \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$; there exists a minimal positive integer t such that $u^t y \in X$. Write $u^t y = \sum_{n \geq 0} u^n \otimes w_n$ for homogeneous elements w_n of W . By minimality of t , $w_0 \neq 0$. The image of $u^t y$ under $\mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes M} M$ is w_0 ; this is non-zero, by the hypothesis on W .

However, y belongs to $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{K}[u] \otimes M$ by hypothesis and thus $u^t y \in \overline{\mathbb{K}[u]} \otimes M$, since $t > 0$. This establishes a contradiction. $\square$

5.2. Projecting to Φ . It is useful to give an equivalent definition of $\tilde{R}_1$ using the reduced T -functor.

Notation 5.4. For M an unstable module, let $\bar{\tau}_M$ be the morphism of $\mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$ induced by the morphism $M \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{TM}$ of unstable modules adjoint to the identity of $\overline{TM}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let M be an unstable module. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\tilde{R}_1 M \cong \ker \bar{\tau}_M$.*

Proof. Straightforward. $\square$

There are canonical morphisms $\tilde{R}_1 M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes M} M$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Recall that $Q : \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is the indecomposables functor. The projective objects of $\mathcal{U}$ are nilclosed, hence, for P a projective, Sq_0 induces a monomorphism $\Phi P \hookrightarrow P$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let P be a projective unstable module; then there is a natural (for P in $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Proj}}$) commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_1 P & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes P \\ \bar{\rho}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes P \\ \Phi P & \xrightarrow{Sq_0} & P. \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\rho}_P$ factorizes canonically as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_1 P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q(\tilde{R}_1 P) \\ & \searrow \tilde{\rho}_P & \downarrow \\ & & \Phi P. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_M : \Omega M \rightarrow \overline{T}M$ be the natural transformation of Definition A.6. For $M = P$ a projective unstable module, a formal adjunction argument shows that $\Omega P \hookrightarrow \overline{T}P$ is a monomorphism.

The morphism $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{F}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes P & \xrightarrow{\overline{\tau}_P} & \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \overline{T}P. \end{array}$$

The morphism $P \rightarrow \Sigma \overline{T}P$ factorizes canonically as $P \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega P \hookrightarrow \Sigma \overline{T}P$, where the second morphism is a monomorphism by the previous discussion and the first is the adjunction unit which features in the short exact sequence of unstable modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi P \rightarrow P \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega P \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence the commutative diagram and the definition of $\tilde{R}_1 P$ as the kernel of $\overline{\tau}_P$ imply that $\tilde{R}_1 P \rightarrow P$ factorizes canonically across $\Phi P \hookrightarrow P$, as required.

The final point is formal, from the adjunction defining the indecomposables functor, Q . $\square$

Remark 5.7. The result holds for any nilclosed unstable module, by using the fact that $\Omega M \rightarrow \overline{T}M$ is a monomorphism if M is nilclosed (see Proposition A.8).

The following Lemma provides the calculational input which is required.

Lemma 5.8. *Let P be a projective unstable module. Then the natural transformation $\tilde{\rho}_P : \tilde{R}_1 P \rightarrow \Phi P$ is surjective.*

Proof. Recall that the unstable modules $F(n)$ ($n \geq 0$) form a set of projective generators of $\mathcal{U}$ and that $F(n) \cong \{F(1)^{\otimes n}\}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. It is sufficient to prove that the morphisms $\tilde{\rho}_{F(n)}$ are surjective, for each n . The case $n = 0$ is immediate.

For $P = F(1)$, the surjectivity is a straightforward calculation: $\Phi F(1)$ is a cyclic unstable module generated by the class of degree two and this class is in the image of $\tilde{\rho}$. Hence there is a linear morphism $\Phi F(1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \tilde{R}_1 F(1)$ which is a section of $\tilde{\rho}$.

Let n be a natural number; then there is a $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -equivariant diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{\tilde{R}_1 F(1)\}^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_1(F(1)^{\otimes n}) & \longleftarrow & \tilde{R}_1(F(n)) \\ \uparrow \varphi^{\otimes n} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\rho}_{F(n)} \\ (\Phi F(1))^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Phi(F(1)^{\otimes n}) & \longleftarrow & \Phi F(n) \end{array}$$

in which the top row is induced by the exterior product morphism of Proposition 3.6, the symmetric group acts by permuting the tensor factors in the left hand square of the diagram and trivially on the right hand column.

The left exactness of $\tilde{R}_1$ implies that $\tilde{R}_1 F(n) \cong \{\tilde{R}_1(F(1)^{\otimes n})\}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$; similarly, $\Phi F(n) \cong \{(\Phi F(1))^{\otimes n}\}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. Hence the restriction of the composite morphism to the $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariants of $(\Phi F(1))^{\otimes n}$ yields a linear section to $\tilde{\rho}_{F(n)}$. $\square$

Remark 5.9.

- (1) In the argument above, it suffices to check that the fundamental class of $F(n)$ is in the image; this does not provide a significant simplification of the argument.
- (2) This can be used to recover the description of R_1 given by Singer. Namely, the above construction gives rise to the linear morphism $\Phi M \xrightarrow{-\text{St}_1} \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$.

Lemma 5.10. *Let P be a projective unstable module. Then, as a graded $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module, $\tilde{R}_1 P$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes \Phi P$ and the morphism $\tilde{\rho}_P$ induces a natural isomorphism $Q(\tilde{R}_1 P) \cong \Phi P$.*

Proof. The $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module $\tilde{R}_1 P$ satisfies the first hypothesis of Lemma 5.3, by Proposition 3.4 (6). Hence, the equivalent condition shows that $\tilde{R}_1 P$ is free on ΦP , by Lemma 5.8, which identifies the image in P . The final statement is clear. $\square$

These results apply to define a morphism $\tilde{\rho}_M^K : \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \Phi M$, for an arbitrary unstable module M .

Proposition 5.11. *Let M be an unstable module. There exists a unique surjective morphism of unstable modules*

$$\tilde{\rho}_M^K : \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \Phi M$$

such that, for any projective presentation $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M , the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{R}_1 P_1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_1 P_0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_1^K M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \tilde{\rho}_{P_1} \downarrow & & \tilde{\rho}_{P_0} \downarrow & & \tilde{\rho}_M^K \downarrow & & \\ \Phi P_1 & \longrightarrow & \Phi P_0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The morphism $\tilde{\rho}_M^K$ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\tilde{\rho}_M^K$ defines a natural surjective transformation $\tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \Phi$ of functors taking values in $\mathcal{U}$;
- (2) $\tilde{\rho}_M^K$ induces an isomorphism $Q(\tilde{R}_1^K M) \cong \Phi M$;
- (3) the following diagram in $\mathcal{U}$ is commutative

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_1^K M & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \\ \tilde{\rho}_M^K \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes M \\ \Phi M & \xrightarrow{Sq_0} & M. \end{array}$$

Proof. Choose a projective presentation $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M , then the diagram (2) defines the morphism $\tilde{\rho}_M^K$, which is independent of the choice of presentation; surjectivity is immediate. The unicity of the construction implies that the morphisms $\tilde{\rho}_M^K$ form a natural transformation.

The functor Q is right exact, hence induces an exact sequence

$$Q(\tilde{R}_1 P_1) \rightarrow Q(\tilde{R}_1 P_0) \rightarrow Q(\tilde{R}_1^K M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, the morphism $Q(\tilde{R}_1 P_1) \rightarrow Q(\tilde{R}_1 P_0)$ identifies with $\Phi P_1 \rightarrow \Phi P_0$, by Lemma 5.10. This implies the second statement.

The final statement follows from the construction of the morphism $\tilde{\rho}_P$, for P a projective unstable module. $\square$

Recall that there is a natural transformation $\tilde{R}_1^K \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1$ hence, for any unstable module M , a composite morphism $\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$.

Proposition 5.12. *Let M be a reduced unstable module. Then*

- (1) *the morphism $\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$ is a monomorphism;*
- (2) *$\tilde{R}_1^K M$ is a free $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module on a graded vector subspace isomorphic to ΦM ;*
- (3) *the quotient $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module $(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M)/\tilde{R}_1^K M$ is $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -free.*

Proof. Let X denote the image of $\tilde{R}_1^K M$ in $\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M$, so that X is an object of $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$. There is a surjection $\Phi M \cong Q(\tilde{R}_1^K M) \twoheadrightarrow QX$ and the diagram (3) shows that this is an isomorphism, using the injectivity of S_{q_0} for M .

Hence, by choice of a subspace of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -generators of $\tilde{R}_1 M$, there are surjective morphisms of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules

$$\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes \Phi M \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M \twoheadrightarrow X \cong \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes \Phi M$$

such that the composite is an isomorphism. It follows that both morphisms are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules. This completes the proof of the first two statements.

The final statement follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3. $\square$

Theorem 5.13. *Let M be a reduced unstable module, then the canonical morphism*

$$\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Proposition 5.12 implies that $\tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1 M$ is a monomorphism with quotient $\tilde{R}_1 M/\tilde{R}_1^K M$ which is free as an $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module. Moreover, Theorem 4.3 implies that $\text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \text{Fix} \tilde{R}_1 M$ is an isomorphism, thus $\text{Fix}(\tilde{R}_1 M/\tilde{R}_1^K M) = 0$.

Recall from [LZ95, Proposition 0.8] that, for N an object of $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$, $\text{Fix}(N) = 0$ if and only if $N[u^{-1}] = 0$. Thus the condition $\text{Fix}(\tilde{R}_1 M/\tilde{R}_1^K M) = 0$ implies that $\tilde{R}_1 M/\tilde{R}_1^K M = 0$, as required. $\square$

Notation 5.14. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}$ denote the full subcategory of reduced unstable modules in $\mathcal{U}$.

Corollary 5.15. *The functor $\tilde{R}_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}} : \mathcal{U}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ is exact.*

Proof. The functor $\tilde{R}_1$ is left exact, hence it suffices to prove that it preserves surjections between reduced unstable modules; a straightforward reduction shows that it is sufficient to establish that it preserves surjections from a projective to a reduced unstable module. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.13. $\square$

5.3. Further consequences.

Definition 5.16. Let $C_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ be the functor defined by $C_1 M := \text{image}(\overline{\tau}_M) \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M$.

Corollary 5.17. *Let M be a reduced unstable module. There is a natural short exact sequence in $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$:*

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow C_1 M \rightarrow 0,$$

such that the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) *there is a canonical monomorphism $C_1 M \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M$ in $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$;*
- (2) *the underlying unstable module of $C_1 M$ is reduced;*
- (3) *$C_1 M$ is free as an $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module;*
- (4) *applying the functor Q to the short exact sequence (4) induces the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega M \rightarrow 0.$$

- (5) $\text{Fix}(C_1M) \cong \overline{T}M$ and the functor Fix applied to the short exact sequence (4) induces the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow TM \rightarrow \overline{T}M \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the functor $C_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}} : \mathcal{U}_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$ is exact.

Proof.

- (1) Theorem 5.13 implies that $\tilde{R}_1^K M$ is naturally isomorphic to $\tilde{R}_1 M$, hence $\tilde{R}_1^K M$ is the kernel of $\bar{\tau}_M$ and $C_1 M$ is the image; the first statement follows.
- (2) $C_1 M$ is a sub-object of the unstable module $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M$, which is reduced since M is reduced.
- (3) Similarly, using the fact that $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M$ is u -torsion free.
- (4) The functor Q is right exact and diagram (3) implies that $Q(\tilde{R}_1 M) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M)$ is the monomorphism $\Phi M \hookrightarrow M$, using the fact that M is reduced. Hence $Q(C_1 M) \cong \Sigma \Omega M$.
- (5) The functor Fix is exact and the morphism $\text{Fix}(\tilde{R}_1 M) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M)$ identifies with the canonical inclusion $M \hookrightarrow TM$, by Proposition 4.2. The result follows.

The final statement concerning the exactness of $C_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}}$ follows from the exactness of $\tilde{R}_1|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}}$ established in Corollary 5.15 and the exactness of $\overline{T}$. $\square$

5.4. The functor $\tilde{R}_1^K$ on nilclosed unstable modules. The considerations of Corollary 5.17 can be pushed further if the natural transformation $\alpha_M : \Omega M \rightarrow \overline{T}M$ (see Definition A.6) is injective; this is the case if M is nilclosed, by Proposition A.8.

The following definitions apply to arbitrary unstable modules.

Definition 5.18. Let $C_2 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$ be the functor $C_2 M := \text{coker}(\bar{\tau}_M)$.

The division functor $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$ is introduced in Section A.2.

Theorem 5.19. *Let M be a nilclosed unstable module. Then*

- (1) *there is a natural exact sequence in $\mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U}$:*
- (5)
$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{R}_1^K M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M \rightarrow C_2 M \rightarrow 0;$$
- (2) *applying the functor $Q : \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ to the diagram (5) induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi M \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Sigma \overline{T}M \rightarrow \Sigma(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2) \rightarrow 0;$$

- (3) *the underlying $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module of $C_2 M$ is free on $\Sigma(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$;*
- (4) *applying the functor $\text{Fix} : \mathbb{F}[u]-\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ to the diagram (5) induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow TM \rightarrow T\overline{T}M \rightarrow \overline{T}^2 M \rightarrow 0,$$

in which the morphism $TM \rightarrow T\overline{T}M$ is the reduction of the morphism $T_{\mathbb{F}}M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}^2}M$ induced by the diagonal map $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2$.

Proof. The first statement is an immediate consequence of the definitions, since $\tilde{R}_1^K M \cong \tilde{R}_1 M$ when M is reduced.

The morphism $\bar{\tau}_M$ factorizes (by definition of $C_1 M$) as

$$\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \twoheadrightarrow C_1 M \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{T}M.$$

By Corollary 5.17, applying the functor Q to these morphisms induces

$$M \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma \Omega M \xrightarrow{\Sigma \alpha_M} \Sigma \overline{T}M$$

where the monomorphism follows from the hypothesis that M is nilclosed, by Proposition A.8. This establishes the second statement, by the right exactness of the functor Q , using Proposition A.8 to identify the cokernel.

The underlying $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module of $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{TM}$ is free on $\Sigma \overline{TM}$. The fact that $C_2 M$ is $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -free follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3, since the indecomposables of $C_1 M$ map injectively to the indecomposables of $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{TM}$.

The functor Fix is exact; moreover the morphism $\overline{\tau}_M$ factorizes naturally in $\mathbb{F}[u] - \mathcal{U}$ as

$$\mathbb{F}[u] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\tau_M} \mathbb{F}[u] \otimes TM \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \otimes \overline{TM}.$$

This gives the identification of the morphism $\text{Fix}(\overline{\tau}_M)$, by Lemma 4.1. This morphism factorizes as

$$TM \rightarrow \overline{TM} \hookrightarrow T\overline{TM} \cong \overline{TM} \oplus \overline{T}^2 M,$$

where the second morphism is the product of the identity on $\overline{TM}$ and the reduced diagonal $\overline{TM} \rightarrow \overline{T}^2 M$. The cokernel is natural isomorphic to $\overline{T}^2 M$. $\square$

APPENDIX A. RESULTS ON DIVISION FUNCTORS

The aim of this appendix is to provide a proof of Proposition A.8.

Notation A.1. For M an unstable module, let $(- : M)$ denote the division functor which is left adjoint to $M \otimes - : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and let $(- : M)_n$ denote its n th left derived functor.

A.1. The loop functor on nilclosed unstable modules. An unstable module M is reduced if and only if Sq_0 induces a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Phi M \xrightarrow{Sq_0} M \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega M \rightarrow 0$, where Ω is the division functor $(- : \Sigma \mathbb{F})$. This is equivalent to the condition that $\Omega_1 M = 0$.

Lemma A.2. *Let M, N be reduced unstable modules. There exists a natural short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega(M \otimes N) \rightarrow (\Omega M \otimes N) \oplus (M \otimes \Omega N) \rightarrow \Sigma(\Omega M \otimes \Omega N) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The short exact sequence is given by the Künneth theorem applied to the tensor product of the complexes $(M \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega M)$ and $(N \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega N)$. $\square$

Lemma A.3. *Let M, N be unstable modules such that $M, N, \Omega M, \Omega N$ are reduced. Then $\Omega(M \otimes N)$ is reduced.*

Proof. The unstable module $\Omega(M \otimes N)$ embeds in $(\Omega M \otimes N) \oplus (M \otimes \Omega N)$, which is reduced, by the hypothesis. $\square$

Lemma A.4. *The unstable module $\Omega H^*(V)$ is reduced, for V a finitely-generated elementary abelian 2-group.*

Proof. The unstable modules $H^*(\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{F}[u]$ and $\Omega H^*(\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \Phi \mathbb{F}[u]$ are reduced. The result follows by induction, using Lemma A.3. $\square$

Proposition A.5. *If M is a nilclosed unstable module, then ΩM is reduced.*

Proof. The functor Ω is a left adjoint, hence commutes with colimits. Moreover, the colimit of a diagram of reduced unstable modules is reduced. A nilclosed unstable module is the filtered colimit of its finitely-generated nilclosed sub-modules, hence it is sufficient to prove the result in the case that M is finitely-generated.

Suppose that M is a finitely-generated nilclosed unstable module. There exists a finitely-generated elementary abelian 2-group V and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H^*(V) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

of unstable modules, with Q reduced, since M is nilclosed. The unstable module $\Omega_1 Q$ is trivial, since Q is reduced, hence the functor Ω induces a monomorphism $\Omega M \rightarrow \Omega H^*(V)$. By Lemma A.4, $\Omega H^*(V)$ is reduced, hence the result follows. $\square$

A.2. The division functor $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$ and the natural transformation α .

Definition A.6. Let $\alpha : \Omega = (- : \Sigma \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \overline{T} = (- : \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]})$ be the natural transformation induced on division functors by the unique non-trivial morphism $p : \overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{F}$.

Notation A.7. Let $\mathbb{F}[u].u^2$ denote the kernel of $\overline{\mathbb{F}[u]} \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma \mathbb{F}$, which identifies as an object of $\mathbb{F}[u]\text{-}\mathcal{U}$ with the ideal of $\mathbb{F}[u]$ generated by u^2 .

Proposition A.8. *The division functor $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$ is right exact and the left derived functors $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_n$ are trivial for $n > 2$. Moreover, there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1 \rightarrow \Omega M \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} \overline{T}M \rightarrow (M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2) \rightarrow 0$$

and a natural isomorphism $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_2 \cong \Omega_1 M$. In particular, if M is reduced, then $(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_2 = 0$.

If M is nilclosed, then $(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_n = 0$ for $n > 0$, hence α_M is a monomorphism.

Proof. The first part of the statement follows from formal considerations, using the exactness of $\overline{T}$ and the vanishing of the left derived functors $(- : \Sigma \mathbb{F})_n$ for $n > 2$.

It remains to consider the case where M is nilclosed. Proposition A.5 implies that ΩM is reduced, hence the subobject $(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1$ is reduced. Thus, it is sufficient to show that $T_V((M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1)$ is trivial in degree zero, for each finitely-generated elementary abelian 2-group V and for every nilclosed unstable module M . The functor T_V commutes with $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1$ and preserves nilclosed unstable modules, hence it is sufficient to show that $(M : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1$ is trivial in degree zero for every nilclosed unstable module M . Equivalently, it is sufficient to show that $\alpha_M : \Omega M \rightarrow \overline{T}M$ is a monomorphism in degree zero.

There is a natural isomorphism $(\Omega M)^0 \cong M^1$. Since M is nilclosed, the inclusion of the elements of degree one induces a natural monomorphism $F(1) \otimes M^1 \hookrightarrow M$ of nilclosed unstable modules, where M^1 is considered as an unstable module concentrated in degree zero. The induced morphism $\Omega(F(1) \otimes M^1) \rightarrow \Omega M$ is a monomorphism (since the cokernel of $F(1) \otimes M^1 \hookrightarrow M$ is reduced), which is an isomorphism in degree zero.

Hence, by naturality, it suffices to prove that $\alpha_{F(1) \otimes M^1}$ is a monomorphism. This follows formally since $F(1) \otimes M^1$ is projective. (Alternatively, a direct calculation gives the result.) $\square$

Example A.9. The condition that M be nilclosed in the final statement cannot be weakened to reduced. Consider $M = \Phi F(1)$; the unstable module ΩM is isomorphic to $\Sigma \mathbb{F}$ and the morphism $\alpha_{\Phi F(1)} : \Omega \Phi F(1) \cong \Sigma \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \overline{T} \Phi F(1) \cong \mathbb{F}$ is trivial.

Example A.10. The functor $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$ is not exact when restricted to $\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}$. There is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2(F(1)) \rightarrow F(2) \rightarrow \Phi F(1) \rightarrow 0$$

in which the two nonzero left hand terms are nilclosed. By Example A.9, $(\Phi F(1) : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)_1 = \Sigma \mathbb{F}$, hence Proposition A.8 shows that the division functor $(- : \mathbb{F}[u].u^2)$ does not send this to a short exact sequence.

REFERENCES

- [Lan92] Jean Lannes, *Sur les espaces fonctionnels dont la source est le classifiant d'un p -groupe abélien élémentaire*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1992), no. 75, 135–244, With an appendix by Michel Zisman. MR MR1179079 (93j:55019)
- [LS89] Jean Lannes and Lionel Schwartz, *Sur la structure des A -modules instables injectifs*, Topology **28** (1989), no. 2, 153–169. MR MR1003580 (90h:55027)
- [LZ87] Jean Lannes and Saïd Zarati, *Sur les foncteurs dérivés de la déstabilisation*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 1, 25–59. MR MR871217 (88j:55014)
- [LZ95] J. Lannes and S. Zarati, *Théorie de Smith algébrique et classification des H^*V - $\mathcal{U}$ -injectifs*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **123** (1995), no. 2, 189–223. MR MR1340287 (96c:55003)
- [Sch94] Lionel Schwartz, *Unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra and Sullivan's fixed point set conjecture*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1994. MR MR1282727 (95d:55017)
- [Sin83] William M. Singer, *Invariant theory and the lambda algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **280** (1983), no. 2, 673–693. MR MR716844 (85e:55029)
- [Sin78] ———, *The construction of certain algebras over the Steenrod algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **11** (1977/78), no. 1-3, 53–59. MR MR0467746 (57 #7598)

LABORATOIRE ANALYSE, GÉOMÉTRIE ET APPLICATIONS, UMR 7539, INSTITUT GALILÉE,
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

E-mail address: `powell@math.univ-paris13.fr`